

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Weshington, D.C. 20231

SEMIAL NUMBER FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

07/805.264

02/20/92

COATES

TAF -14

EXAMINER

	12M1/0915			
	JAMES F. HALEY, JR.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	FICH & MEAVE 1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS		12	
	SOTH FLOOR	1202	//	
	MEW YORK, MY 10020	DATE MAILED:	09/15/99	
***	nnunication from the examiner in charge of your application.		09713723	
COMMISSIO	DIER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS			
		, ,		
□ 5 15 455	ilication has been examined Responsive to communication filed on	100/25 . TO	is action is made final.	
		•		
A shortened	statutory period for response to this action is set to expire TREE month	(s), days fr	om the date of this letter.	
Failure to res	spond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandone	d. 35 U.S.C. 133		
Pert I T	HE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(8) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:			
		Patent Drawing, PTO-94	8.	
	Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449.	nformal Patent Applicat	ion, Form PTO-152.	
	nformation on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. 8.			
Pert II	BUMMARY OF ACTION			
1. 🕅 (Claims 3, 4, 5, 7, 10, 19 and 20	ar	pending in the application.	
"			hdrawn from consideration.	
	Of the above, claims	are wit	ngrawn from consideration.	
• •	Claims		nave been cancelled.	
20,				
a. 🗆 (Claims		are allowed.	
	2 4 5 7 10 Mand	20	are rejected.	
4 90	Cialma 3, 4, 5, 7, 10, 19and			
	Cialms		are objected to.	
_	Claims 8	en eublect to restriction	or election requirement.	
e. 🗆	Claims 5	re subject to restriction	0. 0.00	
	7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.			
7. D	This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are	re acceptable for exami	nation purposes.	
	•	re acceptable for exami	nation purposes.	
	This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which as Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.	re acceptable for exami	nation purposes.	
a. 🗆	Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.		nation purposes.	
a. 🗆	Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on			
a D a D	Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on are acceptable not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawi		R. 1.84 these drawings	
a D a D	Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on		R. 1.84 these drawings	
a. a. 10.	Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on		a. 1.84 these drawings	
a. a. 10.	Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on		a. 1.84 these drawings	
a.	Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on are acceptable, not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filled on examiner. disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). The proposed drawing correction, filed on page 4.		a. 1.84 these drawings approved by the d (see explanation).	
a.	Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on		a. 1.84 these drawings approved by the d (see explanation).	
8.	Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on are acceptable, not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filled on examiner. disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). The proposed drawing correction, filed on page 4.		a. 1.84 these drawings approved by the d (see explanation).	
8.	Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on are acceptable, not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filled on examiner. It is disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). The proposed drawing correction, filled on has been application and application are filled in parent application, serial no. filled on filled in filled on filled in filled on filled in parent application, serial no. filled on filled in filled in filled on fille		a. 1.84 these drawings approved by the d (see explanation).	
8.	Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on		a. 1.84 these drawings approved by the d (see explanation).	
8.	Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on are acceptable, not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filled on examiner. It is disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). The proposed drawing correction, filled on has been application and application are filled in parent application, serial no. filled on filled in filled on filled in filled on filled in parent application, serial no. filled on filled in filled in filled on fille		a. 1.84 these drawings approved by the d (see explanation).	
8.	Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on		a. 1.84 these drawings approved by the d (see explanation).	
8.	Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on		a. 1.84 these drawings approved by the d (see explanation).	
8.	Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on		a. 1.84 these drawings approved by the d (see explanation).	
8.	Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on		a. 1.84 these drawings approved by the d (see explanation).	
8.	Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on		a. 1.84 these drawings approved by the d (see explanation).	

EXAMINER'S ACTION

Serial No. 07/835,964
Art Unit 1202

Applicants response of July 26, 1993, is noted.

The claims in the application are claims 3, 4, 5, 7, 10, 19 and 20.

Claims 3, 4, 5, 7, 10, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103. Applicants describe or page 1 of their specification that the present compound exists as a racemate. The (-) enantiomer here claimed is included in the (±) mixture of the racemate. See Eli Lilla & Co. vs. Generic Drug Sales, 169 USPQ 13 and In re Adamson, 125 USPQ 233.

Applicants appear to argue that the present (-) enantiomers possess an unexpected activity, on page 3 of their response, over the known \pm racemic.

No declaration to that effect is noted in the record here.

Applicants appear to agree on page 5 of their response that methods existed for separating out the enantiomerically pure form.

The rejection of claims 19 and 20 continues under 35 USC 112, 1st and 2nd paragraphs. The expression pharmaceutically acceptable derivative reads on any unknown derivative.

Serial No. 07/835,964
Art Unit 1202

Applicants response is noted, but the claims measure the invention. Applicants have claimed in an open manner that cannot be supported in the specification by adequate representative exemplification, as the re is no limit as to what this unknown derivative might be.

The fact that this language may have appeared in another patent does not mean that it should be allowed here; In re Greider,54 USPQ 139.

Some unknown residue reads on "derivative", and could not be allowed here. Applicants example 4 at the top of page 10 of their response indicates the staggering, unknown, breadth of "derivative".

Claim 3 still calls for 5% (+) isomer. Therefore, mixtures of (+) & (-) enantiomers are still claimed here.

Belleau, U.S. Patent 5,047,407, and WO 91/11186 (Liotta) are presumably indications of the prior art that indicates the compound claimed here is known. See claim 1 of Belleau et al. Note Liotta, notes "enantiomerically-enriched in claims 66-72.

Claims 3-5, 7, 10, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 USC 102 and 103 as being unpatentable over Belleau et al. U.S. Patent 5,047,407. Note Reaction Scheme 1, compound XII. The present isomers would be included with the disclosure of that compound. Note in claim 1, Z is S. In the first instance of R² of claim 1, which claims all optical isomers of their compounds the present

Serial No. 07/835,964

Art Unit 1202

pyrimidine is set forth and claimed when R³ and R⁴/hydrogen.

Claims 3-5, 7, 10, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 USC 102 and 103 as being unpatentable over Liotta et al., U.S. Patent 5,204,466. Note the present compound is described in Liotta as \$\mathbb{E}\-BCH\-189\$ and its analogs in formula 6 and formula 14. Note the reference to enantiomerically enriched. In col. 4.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Ford at telephone number (703) 308-4721.

Ford: ach September 15, 1993

> PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 120